

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,016	09/28/2001	Dov L. Randall	112300-882	5347
29159	7590 04/13/2004	EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYI	O & LLOYD LLC	JONES, SCOTT E		
P. O. BOX 113	35			
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	. 1
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004	· V

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					W			
Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/967,016	6	RANDALL ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Scott E. Joi		3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHOR THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In softime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is is that thirty (30) days, a reply it is of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever y within the statut will apply and will , cause the applic	or, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this or 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Re	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 January 2004.							
2a)∐ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) <u>□</u> Si	nce this application is in condition for allowar	nce except f	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
clo	osed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	of Claims							
4a 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI	Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-46 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-46 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	Papers .							
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on 9/28/01 is/are: a) acception and request that any objection to the explacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the coath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) drawing(s) be tion is require	held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been s have been rity documen u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
2) Notice of 3) Informat	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	ì	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	•	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/967,016

Art Unit: 3713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment and request for continued examination filed on January 20, 2004 in which applicant amends claim 1-6, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20-24, and 28-30, adds new claims 31-46, and responds to the claim rejections.

#### **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-9 and 11-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,328,649 and Application/Control Number: 09/967,016

Art Unit: 3713

claims 1-73 of U.S. Patent No. 6,638,164. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would be obvious to have a processor randomly designate a modifier on a gaming machine, such as a slot machine, since random number generators are notoriously well known and used in the gaming arts to select random outcome events.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 10-12, filed January 20, 2004, with respect to the rejection to claims 1-9, 10-14, 16-25, and 28-30 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wilson, Jr. et al. and the rejection to claims 15 and 26-27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson, Jr. et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection to claims 1-14, 16-25, and 28-30 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wilson, Jr. et al. and the rejection to claims 15 and 26-27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson, Jr. et al. have been withdrawn. Wilson, Jr. et al. lacks disclosing, teaching, or fairly suggesting randomly designating an award modifier upon obtaining an incrementor(s) on the reels. In Wilson, Jr. et al., a multiplier (modifier) is increased each time a sum of ten incrementors are obtained on the reels during the max bet mode. In Wilson, Jr. et al., once an award is obtained, the multiplier (modifier) is automatically reset to the low value, rather than, being randomly designated as claimed in the instant invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott E. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-7133. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 A.M. - 5:00 P.M..

Art Unit: 3713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott E. Jones Examiner Art Unit 3713

sej

1